

# EAU Guidelines on Primary Urethral Carcinoma

G. Gakis, J.A. Witjes (Chair), H.M. Bruins, R. Cathomas,  
E. Compérat, N.C. Cowan, A.G. van der Heijden, V. Hernández,  
A. Lorch, M.J. Ribal (Vice-chair), G.N. Thalmann, E. Veskimäe  
Guidelines Associates: E.E. Linares Espinós, Y. Neuzillet,  
M. Rouanne

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# PAGE

1.	INTRODUCTION	4
	1.1 Aims and scope	4
	1.2 Panel composition	4
	1.3 Publication history and summary of changes	4
	1.3.1 Summary of changes	4
2.	METHODS	4
	2.1 Data identification	4
	2.2 Review	5
	2.3 Future goals	5
3.	EPIDEMIOLOGY, AETIOLOGY AND PATHOLOGY	5
	3.1 Epidemiology	5
	3.2 Aetiology	5
	3.3 Histopathology	5
4.	STAGING AND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS	6
	4.1 Tumour, Node, Metastasis (UICC/TNM) staging system	6
	4.2 Tumour grade	6
	4.3 Handling of tumour specimens	7
	4.4 Guideline for staging and classification systems	7
5.	DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION AND STAGING	8
	5.1 History	8
	5.2 Clinical examination	8
	5.3 Urinary cytology	8
	5.4 Diagnostic urethrocytostcopy and biopsy	8
	5.5 Radiological imaging	8
	5.6 Regional lymph nodes	8
	5.7 Summary of evidence and guidelines for diagnostic evaluation and staging	9
6.	PROGNOSIS	9
	6.1 Long-term survival after primary urethral carcinoma	9
	6.2 Predictors of survival in primary urethral carcinoma	9
	6.3 Summary of evidence for prognosis	8
7.	DISEASE MANAGEMENT	9
	7.1 Treatment of localised primary urethral carcinoma in males	9
	7.1.1 Summary of evidence and guidelines for the treatment of localised primary urethral carcinoma in males	10
	7.2 Treatment of localised urethral carcinoma in females	10
	7.2.1 Urethrectomy and urethra-sparing surgery	10
	7.2.2 Radiotherapy	10
	7.2.3 Summary of evidence and guidelines for the treatment of localised urethral carcinoma in females	10
	7.3 Multimodal treatment in locally advanced urethral carcinoma in both genders	11
	7.3.1 Introduction	11
	7.3.2 Preoperative cisplatin-based chemotherapy	11
	7.3.3 Chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the urethra	11
	7.3.4 Salvage treatment in recurrent primary urethral carcinoma after surgery for primary treatment	11
	7.3.5 Treatment of regional lymph nodes	11
	7.3.6 Summary of evidence and guidelines for multimodal treatment in advanced urethral carcinoma in both genders	12
	7.4 Treatment of urothelial carcinoma of the prostate	12
	7.4.1 Summary of evidence and guidelines for the treatment of urothelial carcinoma of the prostate	12
	7.5 Metastatic disease	12

8.	FOLLOW-UP	14
9.	REFERENCES	14
10.	CONFLICT OF INTEREST	18
11.	CITATION INFORMATION	18

# 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Aims and scope

The aim of these guidelines is to deliver current evidence-based information on the diagnosis and treatment of patients with primary urethral carcinoma. When the first carcinoma in the urinary tract is detected in the urethra, this is defined as primary urethral carcinoma, in contrast to secondary urethral carcinoma, which presents as recurrent carcinoma in the urethra after prior diagnosis and treatment of carcinoma elsewhere in the urinary tract. Most often, secondary urethral carcinoma is reported after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer [1, 2] (see Chapter 7.4 of the European Association of Urology (EAU) Guidelines on Muscle-invasive and Metastatic Bladder Cancer [MIBC] [2]).

It must be emphasised that clinical guidelines present the best evidence available to the experts but following guideline recommendations will not necessarily result in the best outcome. Guidelines can never replace clinical expertise when making treatment decisions for individual patients, but rather help to focus decisions - also taking personal values and preferences/individual circumstances of patients into account. Guidelines are not mandates and do not purport to be a legal standard of care.

## 1.2 Panel composition

The EAU Guidelines Panel on MIBC is responsible for this publication. This is an international multidisciplinary group of clinicians, including urologists, oncologists, a pathologist and a radiologist. Members of this panel have been selected based on their expertise to represent the professionals treating patients suspected of suffering from urethral carcinoma. All experts involved in the production of this document have submitted potential conflict of interest statements, which can be viewed on the EAU Website Uroweb: <https://uroweb.org/guideline/primary-urethral-carcinoma/>.

## 1.3 Publication history and summary of changes

The Primary Urethral Carcinoma Guidelines were first published in 2013 [3]. This is the seventh update of this document.

### 1.3.1 Summary of changes

The literature for the complete document has been assessed and updated, where relevant. In particular for:

- Section 3.1 - Epidemiology and 3.2 Aetiology;
- Section 4.3 - Handling of tumour specimens, a new table has been included;
- Section 6.2 - Predictors of survival in primary urethral carcinoma;
- Chapter 7 - An algorithm presenting an overview of the management of primary urethral carcinoma has been included.

# 2. METHODS

## 2.1 Data identification

For the 2020 Primary Urethral Carcinoma Guidelines, new and relevant evidence has been identified, collated and appraised through a structured assessment of the literature. An updated systematic literature search was performed to identify studies reporting data on urethral malignancies since the prior search, covering a time frame between June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2018 and July 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2019. Databases searched included Ovid (Medline), EMBASE and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. A total of 114 unique records were identified, retrieved and screened for relevance. Eleven new references were included in this 2020 publication. A detailed search strategy is available online: <https://uroweb.org/guideline/primary-urethral-carcinoma/?type=appendices-publications>.

For each recommendation within the guidelines there is an accompanying online strength rating form, based on a modified GRADE methodology [4, 5]. These forms address a number of key elements namely:

1. the overall quality of the evidence which exists for the recommendation, references used in this text are graded according to a classification system modified from the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Levels of Evidence [6];
2. the magnitude of the effect (individual or combined effects);
3. the certainty of the results (precision, consistency, heterogeneity and other statistical or study related factors);
4. the balance between desirable and undesirable outcomes;
5. the impact of patient values and preferences on the intervention;
6. the certainty of those patient values and preferences.

These key elements are the basis which panels use to define the strength rating of each recommendation. The strength of each recommendation is represented by the words 'strong' or 'weak' [7]. The strength of each recommendation is determined by the balance between desirable and undesirable consequences of alternative management strategies, the quality of the evidence (including certainty of estimates), and nature and variability of patient values and preferences. The strength rating forms will be available online.

Additional information can be found in the general Methodology section of this print, and online at the EAU website; <http://www.uroweb.org/guideline/>.

A list of Associations endorsing the EAU Guidelines can also be viewed online at the above address.

## **2.2 Review**

This document was peer-reviewed prior to publication in 2015.

## **2.3 Future goals**

The MIBC Guidelines Panel aims to systematically address the following key clinical topics in future updates of the Primary Urethral Carcinoma Guidelines:

- assessment of the accuracy of computed tomography [CT] and magnetic resonance imaging [MRI] for local staging of primary urethral carcinoma and their predictive value on clinical decision-making;
- the (long-term) efficacy of urethral-sparing surgery and chemoradiotherapy for genital preservation in localised and locally advanced tumours;
- the prognostic impact of neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatment modalities in locally advanced disease;
- the prognostic impact of the extent of transurethral resection of the prostate prior to bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) treatment in urothelial malignancies of the prostatic urethra and ducts;
- the therapeutic benefit and clinical safety of programmed cell death (ligand)-1 inhibitors for the treatment of advanced primary urethral carcinoma;
- the extent and prognostic benefit of regional Lymph node (LN) dissection at primary treatment.

# **3. EPIDEMIOLOGY, AETIOLOGY AND PATHOLOGY**

## **3.1 Epidemiology**

Primary urethral carcinoma is considered a rare cancer, accounting for < 1% of all genitourinary malignancies [8] (ICD-O3 topography code: C68.0) [9]. In 2013, the prevalence of urethral carcinoma in the 28 European Union countries was 3,986 cases with an estimated annual incidence of 1,504 new cases, with a male/female prevalence of 2.9: 1 [10]. Likewise, in an analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database, the incidence of primary urethral carcinoma peaked in the > 75 years age group (7.6/million). The age-standardised rate was 4.3/million in men and 1.5/million in women, and was almost negligible in those aged < 55 years (0.2/million) [11].

## **3.2 Aetiology**

For male primary urethral carcinoma, various predisposing factors have been reported, including urethral strictures [12, 13], chronic irritation after intermittent catheterisation/urethroplasty [14-16], external beam irradiation therapy (EBRT) [17], radioactive seed implantation [18], chronic urethral inflammation/urethritis following sexually transmitted diseases (i.e. condylomata associated with human papilloma virus 16) [19, 20] and lichen sclerosus [13]. In female urethral carcinoma, urethral diverticula [21-23] and recurrent urinary tract infections [24] have been associated with primary urethral carcinoma. Mid-urethral sling meshes have not been associated with an increased risk of primary urethral carcinoma [25]. Clear-cell adenocarcinoma (AC) may also have a congenital origin [26, 27].

## **3.3 Histopathology**

Both the Surveillance of Rare Cancers in Europe (RARECARE) project and SEER database have reported that urothelial carcinoma (UC) of the urethra is the predominant histological type of primary urethral cancer (54-65%), followed by squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (16-22%) and AC (10-16%) [10, 28]. A SEER analysis of 2,065 men with primary urethral carcinoma (mean age: 73 years) found that UC was most common (78%), and SCC (12%) and AC (5%) were significantly less frequent [29]. In women, AC is the more frequent histology (38-46.7%) followed by SCC (25.4-28%), UC (24.9-28%) and other histological entities (6%) [30, 31].

## 4. STAGING AND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS

### 4.1 Tumour, Node, Metastasis (UICC/TNM) staging system

In men and women, urethral carcinoma is classified according to the 8<sup>th</sup> edition of the TNM classification [9] (Table 4.1). It should be noted that there is a separate TNM staging system for prostatic UC [9]. Of note, for cancers occurring in the urethral diverticulum, stage T2 is not applicable as urethral diverticula are lacking periurethral muscle [32].

**Table 4.1: TNM classification (8<sup>th</sup> edition) for urethral carcinoma [9]**

<b>T - Primary Tumour</b>	
TX	Primary tumour cannot be assessed
T0	No evidence of primary tumour
<b>Urethra (male and female)</b>	
Ta	Non-invasive papillary, polypoid, or verrucous carcinoma
Tis	Carcinoma <i>in situ</i>
T1	Tumour invades subepithelial connective tissue
T2	Tumour invades any of the following: corpus spongiosum, prostate, periurethral muscle
T3	Tumour invades any of the following: corpus cavernosum, beyond prostatic capsule, anterior vagina, bladder neck (extraprostatic extension)
T4	Tumour invades other adjacent organs (invasion of the bladder)
<b>Urothelial (transitional cell) carcinoma of the prostate</b>	
Tis pu	Carcinoma <i>in situ</i> , involvement of prostatic urethra
Tis pd	Carcinoma <i>in situ</i> , involvement of prostatic ducts
T1	Tumour invades subepithelial connective tissue (for tumours involving prostatic urethra only)
T2	Tumour invades any of the following: prostatic stroma, corpus spongiosum, periurethral muscle
T3	Tumour invades any of the following: corpus cavernosum, beyond prostatic capsule, bladder neck (extraprostatic extension)
T4	Tumour invades other adjacent organs (invasion of the bladder or rectum)
<b>N - Regional Lymph Nodes</b>	
NX	Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
N0	No regional lymph node metastasis
N1	Metastasis in a single lymph node
N2	Metastasis in multiple lymph nodes
<b>M - Distant Metastasis</b>	
M0	No distant metastasis
M1	Distant metastasis

### 4.2 Tumour grade

The former World Health Organization (WHO) grading system of 1973, which differentiated urothelial carcinomas into three different grades (G1-G3), has been replaced by the grading system in 2004 [33]. Non-urothelial urethral carcinoma is graded by a trinomial system that differentiates between well-differentiated (G1), moderately-differentiated (G2), and poorly-differentiated tumours (G3). Table 4.2 lists the different grading systems according to the WHO 1973 and 2004 systems [33]. The 2004 classification corresponds to the new 2016 WHO classification [34].

**Table 4.2: Histopathological grading of urothelial and non-urothelial primary urethral carcinoma [33]**

<b>Urothelial urethral carcinoma</b>	
PUNLMP	Papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential
Low grade	Well differentiated
High grade	Poorly differentiated

Non-urothelial urethral carcinoma	
Gx	Tumour grade not assessable
G1	Well differentiated
G2	Moderately differentiated
G3	Poorly differentiated

### 4.3 Handling of tumour specimens

Specimen handling should follow the general rules as published by the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting [35].

**Table 4.3: Required and recommended elements for pathology reporting of carcinoma of the urethra in urethrectomy specimens [9, 35]**

Required		Recommended	
Operative procedure		Clinical information	Previous history of urinary tract disease or distant metastasis
Additional specimens submitted			Previous therapy
Maximum tumour dimension	Cannot be assessed		Other clinical information
	No macroscopically visible tumour	Tumour focality	
	Maximum tumour dimension (largest tumour)	Other tumour dimensions (than maximum dimension) of the largest tumour	
Macroscopic tumour site		Block identification key	
Macroscopic extent of invasion		Associated epithelial lesions	
Histological tumour type	Histological subtype/variant (urothelial carcinoma)	Extranodal spread for involved regional lymph node(s)	
Non-invasive carcinoma		Coexistent pathology	
Histological tumour grade		Ancillary studies	
Microscopic extent of invasion			
Lymphovascular invasion			
Margin status			
Regional lymph node status	No regional lymph nodes submitted		

### 4.4 Guideline for staging and classification systems

Recommendation	LE	Strength rating
Use the 2017 TNM classification and 2004/2016 WHO grading systems for pathological staging and grading of primary urethral carcinoma.	3	Strong

## 5. DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION AND STAGING

### 5.1 History

When becoming clinically apparent, most patients (45-57%) with primary urethral carcinoma present with symptoms associated with locally advanced disease (T3/T4) [36]. At initial presentation visible haematuria or bloody urethral discharge is reported in up to 62% of the cases. Further symptoms of locally advanced disease include; an extra-urethral mass (52%), bladder outlet obstruction (48%), pelvic pain (33%), urethrocutaneous fistula (10%), abscess formation (5%) or dyspareunia [36].

### 5.2 Clinical examination

In men, physical examination should comprise palpation of the external genitalia for suspicious indurations or masses and digital rectal examination [37]. In women, further pelvic examination with careful inspection and palpation of the urethra should be performed, especially in those with primary onset of irritative or obstructive voiding. In addition, bimanual examination, when necessary under general anaesthesia, should be performed for local clinical staging and to exclude the presence of colorectal or gynaecological malignancies. Bilateral inguinal palpation should be conducted to assess the presence of enlarged LNs, describing location, size and mobility [38].

### 5.3 Urinary cytology

Cytological assessment of urine specimens in suspect cases of primary urethral carcinoma should be conducted according to the Paris system [39]. The role of urinary cytology in primary urethral carcinoma is limited since its sensitivity ranges between 55% and 59% [40]. Detection rates depend on the underlying histological entity. In male patients, the sensitivity for UC and SCC was reported to be 80% and 50%, respectively, whereas in female patients, sensitivity was found to be 77% for SCC and 50% for UC [40].

### 5.4 Diagnostic urethrocystoscopy and biopsy

Diagnostic urethrocystoscopy and biopsy enables primary assessment of a urethral tumour in terms of tumour extent, location and underlying histology [37]. To enable accurate pathological assessment of surgical margins, biopsy sites (proximal/distal end) should be marked and sent together with clinical information to the pathologist. To obtain all relevant information, the collection, handling, and evaluation of biopsy specimen should follow the recommendations provided by the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (see table 4.3) [35].

Careful cystoscopic examination is necessary to exclude the presence of concomitant bladder tumours [41]. A cold-cup biopsy enables accurate tissue retrieval for histological analysis and avoids artificial tissue damage. In patients with larger lesions, transurethral resection (optionally in men under penile blood arrest using a tourniquet) can be performed for histological diagnosis [42]. In patients with suspected UC of the prostatic urethra or ducts, resectoscope loop biopsy of the prostatic urethra (between the five and seven o'clock position from the bladder neck and distally around the area of the verumontanum) can contribute to an improved detection rate [43].

### 5.5 Radiological imaging

Radiological imaging of urethral carcinoma aims to assess local tumour extent and to detect lymphatic and distant metastatic spread. MRI can be used to evaluate local tumour extent and presence of regional LN metastases, focusing in particular on inguinal and pelvic LNs [44-46]. Computed tomography can be used for distant staging and concentrate on chest, abdomen and pelvis, with CT of the thorax and abdomen in all patients with invasive disease (> cT1N0M0) [47]. If imaging of the remainder of the urothelium is required, CT urography should be performed [48].

### 5.6 Regional lymph nodes

In contrast to penile cancer (41%) [49], enlarged LNs in urethral carcinoma often represent metastatic disease (84%) [50-52]. In men, lymphatics from the anterior urethra drain into the superficial- and deep inguinal LNs and, subsequently, to the pelvic (external, obturator and internal iliac) LNs. Conversely, lymphatic vessels of the posterior urethra drain into the pelvic LNs. In women, the lymph of the proximal third drains into the pelvic LN chains, whereas the distal two-thirds initially drain into the superficial- and deep inguinal nodes [53, 54].

## 5.7 Summary of evidence and guidelines for diagnostic evaluation and staging

Summary of evidence	LE
Patients with clinically enlarged inguinal or pelvic LNs often exhibit pathological LN metastasis.	3

Recommendations	LE	Strength rating
Use urethrocytostcopy with biopsy and urinary cytology to diagnose urethral carcinoma.	3	Strong
Assess the presence of distant metastases by computed tomography of the thorax and abdomen/pelvis.	3	Strong
Use pelvic magnetic resonance imaging to assess the local extent of urethral tumour and regional lymph node enlargement.	3	Strong

## 6. PROGNOSIS

### 6.1 Long-term survival after primary urethral carcinoma

According to the RARECARE project, the one- and 5-year relative overall survival (OS) rates in patients with urethral carcinoma in Europe are 71% and 54%, respectively [10]. With longer follow-up, a SEER analysis of 2,651 cases with common and 257 cases of rare PUC reported 10-year OS rates of 42.4 and 31.9%, respectively [55]. Cancer-specific survival (CSS) rates at five and ten years are 68% and 60%, respectively [11].

### 6.2 Predictors of survival in primary urethral carcinoma

In Europe, 5-year OS rate does not substantially differ between the sexes [10, 31]. Prognostic factors of decreased survival in patients with primary urethral carcinoma are:

- advanced age (> 65 years) and black race [10, 31, 56];
- stage, grade, nodal involvement [51] and metastasis [29];
- tumour size and proximal tumour location [29];
- extent of surgical treatment and treatment modality [29, 56];
- underlying histology [10, 29, 56, 57];
- presence of concomitant bladder cancer [41];
- location of recurrence (urethral vs. non-urethral) [58].

Some limitations have to be taken into when interpreting these results. In the Dutch study, the numbers were low (n = 91) [57]. A study based on the SEER database compared prognostic factors in rare pathological types of PUC (n = 257) and common pathological groups (n = 2,651). Age (> 60 years), race (others vs. whites), T-stage (T3/T4 vs. Ta-T2) and M-stage (M1 vs. M0) were independent prognostic risk factors for OS and CSS in rare pathological variants [55].

### 6.3 Summary of evidence for prognosis

Summary of evidence	LE
Prognostic factors for survival in primary urethral carcinoma are: age, race, tumour stage and grade, nodal stage, presence of distant metastasis, histological type, tumour size, tumour location, concomitant bladder cancer and type and modality of treatment.	3

## 7. DISEASE MANAGEMENT

### 7.1 Treatment of localised primary urethral carcinoma in males

Previously, treatment of male distal urethral carcinoma followed the procedure for penile cancer, with aggressive surgical excision of the primary lesion with a wide safety margin [37]. Distal urethral tumours exhibit significantly improved survival rates compared with proximal tumours [59]. Therefore, optimising treatment of distal urethral carcinoma has become the focus of clinicians to improve functional outcome and quality of life,

while preserving oncological safety. A retrospective series found no evidence of local recurrence, even with < 5 mm resection margins (median follow-up: 17-37 months), in men with pT1-3N0-2 distal urethral carcinoma treated with well-defined penis-preserving surgery and additional iliac/inguinal lymphadenectomy for clinically suspected LN disease [60]. This suggests that prognosis is mainly determined by nodal stage. Similar results for the feasibility of penile-preserving surgery have also been reported in recent series [61, 62]. However, a series on patients treated with penis-preserving surgery for distal urethral cancer reported a higher risk of progression in patients with positive proximal margins, which was also more frequently present in cases of lymphovascular and peri-neural invasion of the primary tumour [63].

**7.1.1 Summary of evidence and guidelines for the treatment of localised primary urethral carcinoma in males**

Summary of evidence	LE
In distal urethral tumours performing a partial urethrectomy with a minimal safety margin does not increase the risk of local recurrence.	3

Recommendations	LE	Strength rating
Offer distal urethrectomy as an alternative to penile amputation in localised distal urethral tumours, if surgical margins are negative.	3	Weak
Ensure complete circumferential assessment of the proximal urethral margin if penis-preserving surgery is intended.	3	Strong

**7.2 Treatment of localised urethral carcinoma in females**

**7.2.1 Urethrectomy and urethra-sparing surgery**

In women with localised urethral carcinoma, to provide the highest chance of local cure, primary radical urethrectomy should remove all the peri-urethral tissue from the bulbocavernosus muscle bilaterally and distally, with a cylinder of all adjacent soft tissue up to the pubic symphysis and bladder neck. Bladder neck closure and appendicovesicostomy for primary distal urethral lesions has been shown to provide satisfactory functional results in women [37].

Previous series have reported outcomes in women with mainly distal urethral tumours undergoing primary treatment with urethra-sparing surgery with or without additional radiotherapy (RT) compared to primary urethrectomy, with the aim of maintaining integrity and function of the lower urinary tract [64, 65]. In long-term series with a median follow-up of 153-175 months, local recurrence rates in women undergoing partial urethrectomy with intraoperative frozen section analysis were 22-60%, and distal sleeve resection of > 2 cm resulted in secondary urinary incontinence in 42% of patients who subsequently required additional reconstructive surgery [64, 65].

Ablative surgical techniques, i.e., transurethral resection (TUR) or laser, used for small distal urethral tumours, have also resulted in considerable local failure rates of 16%, with a CSS rate of 50%. This emphasises the critical role of local tumour control in women with distal urethral carcinoma to prevent local and systemic progression [64].

**7.2.2 Radiotherapy**

In women RT was investigated in several older long-term series with a medium follow up of 91-105 months [66]. With a median cumulative dose of 65 Gy (range: 40-106 Gy), the 5-year local control rate was 64% and 7-year CSS was 49% [66]. Most local failures (95%) occurred within the first two years after primary treatment [66]. The extent of urethral tumour involvement was found to be the only parameter independently associated with local tumour control but the type of RT (EBRT vs. interstitial brachytherapy) was not [66]. In one study, the addition of brachytherapy to EBRT reduced the risk of local recurrence by a factor of 4.2 [67]. Of note, pelvic toxicity in those achieving local control was considerable (49%), including urethral stenosis, fistula, necrosis, and cystitis and/or haemorrhage, with 30% of the reported complications graded as severe [66].

**7.2.3 Summary of evidence and guidelines for the treatment of localised urethral carcinoma in females**

Summary of evidence	LE
In distal tumours, urethra-sparing surgery and local RT represent alternatives to primary urethrectomy but are associated with increased risk of tumour recurrence and local toxicity.	3

<b>Recommendations</b>	<b>LE</b>	<b>Strength rating</b>
Offer urethra-sparing surgery, as an alternative to primary urethrectomy, to women with distal urethral tumours, if negative surgical margins can be achieved intraoperatively.	3	Weak
Offer local radiotherapy, as an alternative to urethral surgery, to women with localized urethral tumours, but discuss local toxicity.	3	Weak

### **7.3 Multimodal treatment in locally advanced urethral carcinoma in both genders**

#### **7.3.1 Introduction**

Multimodal therapy in primary urethral carcinoma consists of definitive surgery plus chemotherapy with the option of additional RT [68]. Multimodal therapy is often underutilised (16%) in locally advanced disease notwithstanding promising results [68]. It confers an OS benefit in primary urethral carcinoma of urothelial origin [69-71]. A large retrospective cohort study in patients with locally advanced urethral carcinoma treated with adjuvant RT and surgery vs. surgery alone demonstrated that the addition of RT improved OS [72].

#### **7.3.2 Preoperative cisplatin-based chemotherapy**

For local staging, there is increasing evidence that MRI is an accurate tool for monitoring tumour response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and evaluating the extent of local disease prior to exenterative surgery [73].

Retrospective studies have reported that modern cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy regimens can be effective in advanced primary urethral carcinoma, providing prolonged survival even in LN-positive disease. Moreover, they have emphasised the critical role of surgery after chemotherapy to achieve long-term survival in patients with locally advanced urethral carcinoma.

In a series of 124 patients, 39 (31%) were treated with peri-operative platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced primary urethral carcinoma (twelve patients received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, six received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and 21 adjuvant chemotherapy). Patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced primary urethral carcinoma ( $\geq$  cT3 and/or cN+) appeared to demonstrate improved survival compared to those who underwent upfront surgery with or without adjuvant chemotherapy [74]. Another retrospective series including 44 patients with advanced primary urethral carcinoma, reported outcomes on 21 patients who had preoperatively received cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy according to the underlying histologic subtype. The overall response rate for the various regimens was 72% and the median OS 32 months [50].

#### **7.3.3 Chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the urethra**

The clinical feasibility of local RT with concurrent chemotherapy as an alternative to surgery in locally advanced SCC has been reported in several series. This approach offers a potential for genital preservation [75-79]. The largest, and recently updated, retrospective series reported outcomes in 25 patients with primary locally advanced SCC of the urethra treated with two cycles of 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin C with concurrent EBRT. A complete response to primary chemoradiotherapy was observed in ~80%. The 5-year OS and disease-specific survival was 52% and 68%, respectively. In this updated series, salvage surgery initiated only in non-responders or in case of local failure, was not reported to be associated with improved survival [75].

#### **7.3.4 Salvage treatment in recurrent primary urethral carcinoma after surgery for primary treatment**

A multicentre study reported that patients who were treated with surgery for primary therapy, and underwent surgery or RT-based salvage treatment for recurrent solitary or concomitant urethral disease, demonstrated similar survival rates compared to patients who never developed recurrence after primary treatment [58].

#### **7.3.5 Treatment of regional lymph nodes**

Nodal control in urethral carcinoma can be achieved either by regional LN dissection [37], RT [66] or chemotherapy [50]. Currently, there is still no clear evidence supporting prophylactic bilateral inguinal and/or pelvic lymphadenectomy in all patients with urethral carcinoma [52]. However, in patients with clinically enlarged inguinal/pelvic LNs or invasive tumours, regional lymphadenectomy should be considered as initial treatment since cure might still be achievable with limited disease [37].

### 7.3.6 Summary of evidence and guidelines for multimodal treatment in advanced urethral carcinoma in both genders

Summary of evidence	LE
In locally advanced urethral carcinoma, cisplatin-based chemotherapy with curative intent prior to surgery might improve survival compared to chemotherapy alone, or surgery followed by chemotherapy.	3
In locally advanced SCC of the urethra, treatment with chemoradiotherapy might be an alternative to surgery.	3

Recommendations	LE	Strength rating
Discuss treatment of patients with locally advanced urethral carcinoma within a multidisciplinary team of urologists, radio-oncologists and oncologists.	3	Strong
In locally advanced urethral carcinoma, use cisplatin-based chemotherapeutic regimens with curative intent prior to surgery.	3	Weak
In locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the urethra, offer the combination of curative radiotherapy (RT) with radiosensitising chemotherapy for definitive treatment and genital preservation.	3	Weak
Offer salvage surgery or RT to patients with urethral recurrence after primary treatment.	3	Weak

### 7.4 Treatment of urothelial carcinoma of the prostate

Local conservative treatment with extensive TUR and subsequent BCG instillation is effective in patients with Ta or Tis prostatic urethral carcinoma [80]. Likewise, patients undergoing TUR of the prostate prior to BCG experience improved complete response rates compared with those who do not (95% vs. 66%) [81]. Risk of understaging local extension of prostatic urethral cancer at TUR is increased, especially in patients with ductal or stromal involvement [82]. In smaller series, response rates to BCG in patients with prostatic duct involvement have been reported to vary between 57% and 75% [80, 83]. Some earlier series have reported superior oncological results for the initial use of radical cystoprostatectomy as a primary treatment option in patients with ductal involvement [84, 85]. In 24 patients with prostatic stromal invasion treated with radical cystoprostatectomy, a LN mapping study found that twelve patients had positive LNs, with an increased proportion located above the iliac bifurcation [86].

#### 7.4.1 Summary of evidence and guidelines for the treatment of urothelial carcinoma of the prostate


Summary of evidence	LE
Patients undergoing TUR of the prostate for prostatic urothelial carcinoma prior to BCG treatment show superior complete response rates compared to those who do not.	3

Recommendations	LE	Strength rating
Offer a urethra-sparing approach with transurethral resection (TUR) and bacillus-Calmette Guérin (BCG) to patients with non-invasive urethral carcinoma or carcinoma <i>in situ</i> of the prostatic urethra and prostatic ducts.	3	Strong
Perform a TUR of the prostate prior to treatment with BCG to improve response to BCG.	3	Weak
In patients not responding to BCG, or in patients with extensive ductal or stromal involvement, perform a cystoprostatectomy with extended pelvic lymphadenectomy.	3	Strong

### 7.5 Metastatic disease

There is no separate data addressing management of metastatic disease in primary urethral carcinoma patients. Systemic therapy in metastatic disease should be selected based on the histology of the tumour. The EAU Guidelines on Metastatic Bladder Cancer can be followed if UC is the predominant histology [2]. Even though urethral carcinoma patients have been included in large clinical trials on immunotherapy, so far, in terms of response rates, no subgroup analyses are available [87].

Figure 7.1: Management of primary urethral carcinoma



\* Ensure complete circumferential assessment if penis-preserving/urethra-sparing surgery or partial urethrectomy is intended.

\*\* Squamous cell carcinoma.

\*\*\* Regional lymphadenectomy should be considered in clinically enlarged lymph nodes.

\*\*\*\* Consider neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

\*\*\*\*\* In extensive or BCG-unresponsive disease: consider (primary) cystoprostatectomy +/- urethrectomy + lymphadenectomy.

BCG = bacillus Calmette-Guérin; CT = computed tomography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging;

PUC = primary urethral carcinoma; TUR = transurethral resection.

## 8. FOLLOW-UP

Given the low incidence of primary urethral carcinoma, follow-up has not been systematically investigated. Therefore, it seems reasonable to tailor surveillance regimens according to patients' individual risk factors (see Section 6.2). In patients undergoing urethra-sparing surgery, it seems prudent to advocate a more extensive follow-up with urinary cytology, urethrocystoscopy and cross-sectional imaging despite the lack of specific data.

## 9. REFERENCES

1. Boorjian, S.A., *et al.* Risk factors and outcomes of urethral recurrence following radical cystectomy. *Eur Urol*, 2011. 60: 1266.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21871713>
2. Witjes, J.A., *et al.* EAU Guidelines on Muscle-invasive and Metastatic Bladder Cancer. Edn. presented at the 35th EAU Annual Congress Amsterdam, In: EAU Guidelines 2020: Arnhem. The Netherlands.  
<https://uroweb.org/guideline/bladder-cancer-muscle-invasive-and-metastatic/>
3. Gakis, G., *et al.* EAU guidelines on primary urethral carcinoma. *Eur Urol*, 2013. 64: 823.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23582479>
4. Guyatt, G.H., *et al.* GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*, 2008. 336: 924.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18436948>
5. Guyatt, G.H., *et al.* What is "quality of evidence" and why is it important to clinicians? *BMJ*, 2008. 336: 995.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18456631>
6. Phillips B, *et al.* Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence. Updated by Jeremy Howick March 2009. 1998.  
<https://www.cebm.net/2009/06/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009/>
7. Guyatt, G.H., *et al.* Going from evidence to recommendations. *BMJ*, 2008. 336: 1049.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18467413>
8. Gatta, G., *et al.* Rare cancers are not so rare: the rare cancer burden in Europe. *Eur J Cancer*, 2011. 47: 2493.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22033323>
9. Brierley, J.D., *et al.* TNM classification of malignant tumors. UICC International Union Against Cancer. 2017, Wiley/Blackwell. p. 208.  
<https://www.uicc.org/resources/tnm/publications-resources>
10. RARECARENet - Information Network on Rare Cancers, Surveillance of Rare Cancers in Europe. 2019.  
<http://www.rarecare.eu/default.asp>
11. Swartz, M.A., *et al.* Incidence of primary urethral carcinoma in the United States. *Urology*, 2006. 68: 1164.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17141838>
12. Krukowski J. *et al.* Primary urethral carcinoma - unexpected cause of urethral stricture. Case report and review of the literature. *Med Ultrasonograph*, 2019. 21: 494.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31765461>
13. Guo H., *et al.* Lichen Sclerosus Accompanied by Urethral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Retrospective Study From a Urethral Referral Center. *Am J Men's Health*, 2018. 12: 1692.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29926751>
14. Colapinto, V., *et al.* Primary carcinoma of the male urethra developing after urethroplasty for stricture. *J Urol*, 1977. 118: 581.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/916053>
15. Mohanty, N.K., *et al.* Squamous cell carcinoma of perineal urethrostomy. *Urol Int*, 1995. 55: 118.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8533195>
16. Sawczuk, I., *et al.* Post urethroplasty squamous cell carcinoma. *N Y State J Med*, 1986. 86: 261.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3459083>
17. Mohan, H., *et al.* Squamous cell carcinoma of the prostate. *Int J Urol*, 2003. 10: 114.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12588611>
18. Arva, N.C., *et al.* Diagnostic dilemmas of squamous differentiation in prostate carcinoma case report and review of the literature. *Diagn Pathol*, 2011. 6: 46.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21627811>

19. Cupp, M.R., *et al.* Detection of human papillomavirus DNA in primary squamous cell carcinoma of the male urethra. *Urology*, 1996. 48: 551.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8886059>
20. Wiener, J.S., *et al.* Oncogenic human papillomavirus type 16 is associated with squamous cell cancer of the male urethra. *Cancer Res*, 1992. 52: 5018.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1325290>
21. Urethral diverticular carcinoma: an overview of current trends in diagnosis and management. *Int Urol Nephrol*, 2010. 42: 331.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19649767>
22. Chung, D.E., *et al.* Urethral diverticula in women: discrepancies between magnetic resonance imaging and surgical findings. *J Urol*, 2010. 183: 2265.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20400161>
23. Thomas, A.A., *et al.* Urethral diverticula in 90 female patients: a study with emphasis on neoplastic alterations. *J Urol*, 2008. 180: 2463.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18930487>
24. Libby, B., *et al.* Non-surgical treatment of primary female urethral cancer. *Rare Tumors*, 2010. 2: e55.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21139970>
25. Altman, D., *et al.* Cancer Risk After Midurethral Sling Surgery Using Polypropylene Mesh. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2018. 131: 469.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29420401>
26. Gandhi, J.S., *et al.* Clear cell adenocarcinoma of the male urethral tract. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol*, 2012. 55: 245.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22771656>
27. Mehra, R., *et al.* Primary urethral clear-cell adenocarcinoma: comprehensive analysis by surgical pathology, cytopathology, and next-generation sequencing. *Am J Pathol*, 2014. 184: 584.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24389164>
28. Visser O., *et al.* Incidence and survival of rare urogenital cancers in Europe. *Eur J Cancer (Oxford, England: 1990)* 2012, 48: 456.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22119351>
29. Rabbani F. Prognostic factors in male urethral cancer. *Cancer*, 2011. 117: 2426.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24048790>
30. Aleksic, I., *et al.* Primary urethral carcinoma: A Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results data analysis identifying predictors of cancer-specific survival. *Urol Ann*, 2018. 10: 170.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29719329>
31. Sui, W., *et al.* Outcomes and Prognostic Factors of Primary Urethral Cancer. *Urology*, 2017. 100: 180.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27720774>
32. Greiman A.K., *et al.* Urethral diverticulum: A systematic review. *Arab J Urol*, 2019. 17: 49.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31258943>
33. Eble J.N. *et al.* WHO Classification of Tumours: Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs (IARC WHO Classification of Tumours). 2004, Lyon.  
<https://www.iarc.fr/en/publications/pdfs-online/pat-gen/bb7/BB7.pdf>
34. Compérat, E., *et al.* Immunochemical and molecular assessment of urothelial neoplasms and aspects of the 2016 World Health Organization classification. *Histopathology*, 2016. 69: 717.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9730466>
35. Shanks J.H., *et al.* Dataset for reporting of carcinoma of the urethra (in urethrectomy specimens): recommendations from the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR). *Histopathology*, 2019. 75: 453.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31009090>
36. Gheiler, E.L., *et al.* Management of primary urethral cancer. *Urology*, 1998. 52: 487.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9730466>
37. Karnes, R.J., *et al.* Surgery for urethral cancer. *Urol Clin North Am*, 2010. 37: 445.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20674699>
38. Blaivas, J.G., *et al.* Periurethral masses: etiology and diagnosis in a large series of women. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2004. 103: 842.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15121554>
39. Barkan, G.A., *et al.* The Paris System for Reporting Urinary Cytology: The Quest to Develop a Standardized Terminology. *Acta Cytol*, 2016. 60: 185.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27318895>

40. Touijer, A.K., *et al.* Role of voided urine cytology in diagnosing primary urethral carcinoma. *Urology*, 2004. 63: 33.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14751342>
41. Gakis, G., *et al.* Oncological Outcomes of Patients with Concomitant Bladder and Urethral Carcinoma. *Urol Int*, 2016. 97: 134.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27462702>
42. Samm BJ, Steiner MS. Penectomy: a technique to reduce blood loss. *Urology* 1999;53:393-6.
43. Donat, S.M., *et al.* The efficacy of transurethral biopsy for predicting the long-term clinical impact of prostatic invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol*, 2001. 165: 1580.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11342921>
44. Del Gaizo A., *et al.* Magnetic resonance imaging of solid urethral and peri-urethral lesions. *Insights into Imaging*, 2013. 4: 461.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23686749>
45. Itani, M., *et al.* MRI of female urethra and periurethral pathologies. *Int Urogynecol J*, 2016. 27: 195.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26209954>
46. Stewart S.B., *et al.* Imaging tumors of the penis and urethra. *Urol Clinics North Am*, 2010, 37: 353.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20674692>
47. Kim, B., *et al.* Imaging of the male urethra. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*, 2007. 28: 258.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17874650>
48. Raman, S.P., *et al.* Upper and Lower Tract Urothelial Imaging Using Computed Tomography Urography. *Radiol Clin North Am*, 2017. 55: 225.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28126213>
49. Naumann, C.M., *et al.* Reliability of dynamic sentinel node biopsy combined with ultrasound-guided removal of sonographically suspicious lymph nodes as a diagnostic approach in patients with penile cancer with palpable inguinal lymph nodes. *Urol Oncol*, 2015. 33: 389.e9.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25934562>
50. Dayyani, F., *et al.* Retrospective analysis of survival outcomes and the role of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in patients with urethral carcinomas referred to medical oncologists. *Urol Oncol*, 2013. 31: 1171.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22534087>
51. Gakis, G., *et al.* Prognostic factors and outcomes in primary urethral cancer: results from the international collaboration on primary urethral carcinoma. *World J Urol*, 2016. 34: 97.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25981402>
52. Werntz, R.P., *et al.* The role of inguinal lymph node dissection in men with urethral squamous cell carcinoma. *Urol Oncol*, 2018. 36: 526 e1.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30446445>
53. Carroll, P.R., *et al.* Surgical anatomy of the male and female urethra. *Urol Clin North Am*, 1992. 19: 339.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1574824>
54. Sharp, D., *et al.*, Surgery of penile and urethral carcinoma, In: *Campbell's Urology*, D. McDougal, A. Wein, L. Kavoussi, A. Novick, A. Partin, C. Peters & P. Ramchandani, Eds. 212, Saunders Elsevier: Philadelphia, PA, USA.
55. Abudurexiti M, Wang J, Shao N, *et al.* Prognosis of rare pathological primary urethral carcinoma. *Cancer Management Res*, 2018. 10: 6815.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30584373>
56. Champ, C.E., *et al.* Prognostic factors and outcomes after definitive treatment of female urethral cancer: a population-based analysis. *Urology*, 2012. 80: 374.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22857759>
57. Derksen, J.W., *et al.* Primary urethral carcinoma in females: an epidemiologic study on demographical factors, histological types, tumour stage and survival. *World J Urol*, 2013. 31: 147.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22614443>
58. Gakis, G., *et al.* The prognostic effect of salvage surgery and radiotherapy in patients with recurrent primary urethral carcinoma. *Urol Oncol*, 2018. 36: 10.e7.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29055518>
59. Dalbagni, G., *et al.* Male urethral carcinoma: analysis of treatment outcome. *Urology*, 1999. 53: 1126.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10367840>
60. Smith, Y., *et al.* Penile-preserving surgery for male distal urethral carcinoma. *BJU Int*, 2007. 100: 82.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17488307>
61. Pedrosa, J.A., *et al.* Distal urethrectomy for localized penile squamous carcinoma in situ extending into the urethra: an updated series. *Int Urol Nephrol*, 2014. 46: 1551.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24633698>

62. Kulkarni, M., *et al.* MP10-16 Substitution urethroplasty for treatment of distal urethral carcinoma and carcinoma in situ. *J. Urol* 193: e117.  
[https://www.jurology.com/article/S0022-5347\(15\)00728-4/fulltext](https://www.jurology.com/article/S0022-5347(15)00728-4/fulltext)
63. Torbrand, C., *et al.* Diagnosing Distal Urethral Carcinomas in Men Might Be Only the Tip of the Iceberg. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*, 2017. 15: e1131.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28784424>
64. Dimarco, D.S., *et al.* Surgical treatment for local control of female urethral carcinoma. *Urol Oncol*, 2004. 22: 404.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15464921>
65. DiMarco, D.S., *et al.* Outcome of surgical treatment for primary malignant melanoma of the female urethra. *J Urol*, 2004. 171: 765.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14713806>
66. Garden, A.S., *et al.* Primary carcinoma of the female urethra. Results of radiation therapy. *Cancer*, 1993. 71: 3102.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8490839>
67. Milosevic, M.F., *et al.* Urethral carcinoma in women: results of treatment with primary radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol*, 2000. 56: 29.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10869752>
68. Zinman L.N., *et al.* Management of Proximal Primary Urethral Cancer: Should Multidisciplinary Therapy Be the Gold Standard? *Urol Clin North Am*, 2016. 43: 505.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27717436>
69. Cahn, D.B., *et al.* Contemporary practice patterns and survival outcomes for locally advanced urethral malignancies: A National Cancer Database Analysis. *Urol Oncol*, 2017. 35: 670 e15.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28803701>
70. Dayyani, F., *et al.* Management of advanced primary urethral carcinomas. *BJU Int*, 2014. 114: 25.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24447439>
71. Peyton, C.C., *et al.* Survival Outcomes Associated With Female Primary Urethral Carcinoma: Review of a Single Institutional Experience. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*, 2018. 16: e1003.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29859736>
72. Son, C.H., *et al.* Optimizing the Role of Surgery and Radiation Therapy in Urethral Cancer Based on Histology and Disease Extent. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2018. 102: 304.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29908944>
73. Gourtsoyianni, S., *et al.* MRI at the completion of chemoradiotherapy can accurately evaluate the extent of disease in women with advanced urethral carcinoma undergoing anterior pelvic exenteration. *Clin Radiol*, 2011. 66: 1072.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21839430>
74. Gakis, G., *et al.* Impact of perioperative chemotherapy on survival in patients with advanced primary urethral cancer: results of the international collaboration on primary urethral carcinoma. *Ann Oncol*, 2015. 26: 1754.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25969370>
75. Kent, M., *et al.* Combined chemoradiation as primary treatment for invasive male urethral cancer. *J Urol*, 2015. 193: 532.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25088950>
76. Gakis, G. Editorial Comment to Docetaxel, cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy with concurrent radiation for unresectable advanced urethral carcinoma. *Int J Urol*, 2014. 21: 424.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24251884>
77. Itoh, J., *et al.* Docetaxel, cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy with concurrent radiation for unresectable advanced urethral carcinoma. *Int J Urol*, 2014. 21: 422.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24251859>
78. Hara, I., *et al.* Successful treatment for squamous cell carcinoma of the female urethra with combined radio- and chemotherapy. *Int J Urol*, 2004. 11: 678.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15285764>
79. Cohen, M.S., *et al.* Coordinated chemoradiation therapy with genital preservation for the treatment of primary invasive carcinoma of the male urethra. *J Urol*, 2008. 179: 536.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18076921>
80. Palou Redorta, J., *et al.* Intravesical instillations with bacillus calmette-guerin for the treatment of carcinoma in situ involving prostatic ducts. *Eur Urol*, 2006. 49: 834.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16426729>

81. Gofrit, O.N., *et al.* Prostatic urothelial carcinoma: is transurethral prostatectomy necessary before bacillus Calmette-Guerin immunotherapy? *BJU Int*, 2009. 103: 905.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19021623>
82. Njinou Ngninkeu, B., *et al.* Transitional cell carcinoma involving the prostate: a clinicopathological retrospective study of 76 cases. *J Urol*, 2003. 169: 149.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12478124>
83. Palou, J., *et al.* Urothelial carcinoma of the prostate. *Urology*, 2007. 69: 50.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17280908>
84. Hillyard, R.W., Jr., *et al.* Superficial transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder associated with mucosal involvement of the prostatic urethra: results of treatment with intravesical bacillus Calmette-Guerin. *J Urol*, 1988. 139: 290.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3339727>
85. Solsona, E., *et al.* The prostate involvement as prognostic factor in patients with superficial bladder tumors. *J Urol*, 1995. 154: 1710.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7563328>
86. Vazina, A., *et al.* Stage specific lymph node metastasis mapping in radical cystectomy specimens. *J Urol*, 2004. 171: 1830.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15076287>
87. Balar, A.V., *et al.* First-line pembrolizumab in cisplatin-ineligible patients with locally advanced and unresectable or metastatic urothelial cancer (KEYNOTE-052): a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*, 2017. 18: 1483.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28967485>

## 10. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All members of the Muscle-invasive and Metastatic Bladder Cancer Guidelines Panel have provided disclosure statements of all relationships that they have that might be perceived as a potential source of a conflict of interest. This information is publically accessible through the European Association of Urology website:  
<http://www.uroweb.org/guidelines/primary-urethral-carcinoma/>.

This guidelines document was developed with the financial support of the European Association of Urology. No external sources of funding and support have been involved. The EAU is a non-profit organisation and funding is limited to administrative assistance and travel and meeting expenses. No honoraria or other reimbursements have been provided.

## 11. CITATION INFORMATION

The format in which to cite the EAU Guidelines will vary depending on the style guide of the journal in which the citation appears. Accordingly, the number of authors or whether, for instance, to include the publisher, location, or an ISBN number may vary.

The compilation of the complete Guidelines should be referenced as:

*EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Amsterdam 2020. ISBN 978-94-92671-07-3.*

If a publisher and/or location is required, include:

*EAU Guidelines Office, Arnhem, The Netherlands. <http://uroweb.org/guidelines/compilations-of-all-guidelines/>*

References to individual guidelines should be structured in the following way:

*Contributors' names. Title of resource. Publication type. ISBN. Publisher and publisher location, year.*